

## Interview Summary

Application No. **09/356,926** 

Applicant(s)

19/356,926

Van Ooij et al.

Examiner

LA VILLA

Art Unit 1775



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):
(1) LA VILLA	(3)
(2) Mr. Oberhaus, Attny	
Date of Interview Dec 27, 2002	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applican	nt 2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and dependent claims  Identification of prior art discussed:	
None	
any other comments:  Examiner called applicant to indicate that the amendment problem in the dependent claims due to identical R group vinylsilane structure. Applicant filed an amendment to e	eral nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or not to Claim 1, filed on 9/26/2002, introduced an indefiniteness of designations for the bis-silyl aminosilane structure and eliminate this problem. During the interview Examiner indicated ions, but further examination of the record indicates that rejection
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where n available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a set Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR	endments which the examiner agreed would render the claims no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is sparate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).  RMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has
already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH F	FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE cord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required

Interview	<sup>,</sup> Summary
-----------	----------------------

Application No. **09/356,926** 

Applicant(s)

\_\_\_\_

Van Ooij et al.

Examiner

ΙΔ VIIΙΔ

Art Unit

		LA VILLA	1//5			
All part	ticipants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):				
(1) <u>LA</u>	VILLA .	(3)				
(2) <u>Mr.</u>	Overhouse, Attny	(4)	(4)			
Date o	f Interview Aug 26, 2002					
Туре:	a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applican	nt 2)□ applicant's represer	ntative]			
Exhibit	shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief de	scription:			
Claim(s	s) discussed: 1 and 8					
ldentifi <i>NONE</i>	cation of prior art discussed:					
Agreen	nent with respect to the claims f)☐ was reach	ed. g)X was not reached.	h)□ N/A.			
any oth	nce of Interview including description of the gene ner comments:					
	eement as to patentability of claims was reached. ected results with respect to bis-silyl diaminosilan					
expedit	ed response with this data and will file an explan	ation pertaining to why the c				
with th	e showing of unexpected resultythereby obviating	the obviousness rejections.				
<del>,</del>						
			.,			
allowat	r description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame ole, if available, must be attached. Also, where n le, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
i) 🗀	It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se	parate record of the substanc	e of the interview	(if box is checked).		
INCLUE already	the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOF DE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Note of the Substance of the INTERVIEW. (See Note of the INTERVIEW. See Summary of Rec	MPEP section 713.04). If a reFROM THIS INTERVIEW DATI	eply to the last Off E TO FILE A STAT	ice action has EMENT OF THE		
		Cahelle	L 8/2	4/02		
	r Note: You must sign this form unless it is hment to a signed Office action.	Exam	niner's signature, if	required		